Parasites of Native and Non-native Fishes of the Lower Little Colorado River, Arizona 2000 Annual Report

Timothy L. Hoffnagle, Ph.D. Contract Research Biologist Arizona Game and Fish Department Phoenix, Arizona

Rebecca A. Cole, Ph.D. and Anindo Choudhury, Ph.D.
National Wildlife Health Center
Biological Resources Division, U.S. Geological Survey
Madison, Wisconsin

Submitted to:

Arizona Game and Fish Department Phoenix, Arizona

Navajo Fish and Wildlife Branch The Navajo Nation Window Rock, Arizona

Grand Canyon National Park U. S. Park Service Grand Canyon, Arizona

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Albuquerque, New Mexico

December 2000

Arizona Game and Fish Commission receives federal financial assistance in Sport Fish and Wildlife Restoration. Under Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the U. S. Department of the Interior prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, age, sex or disability. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity or facility as described above, or if you desire further information please write to:

Arizona Game and Fish Department Office of the Deputy Director, DOHQ 2221 West Greenway Road Phoenix, AZ 85023-4399

and

The Office for Diversity and Civil Rights U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service 4040 North Fairfax Drive, Room 300 Arlington, Virginia 22203

The Arizona Game and Fish Department complies with all provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act. This document is available in alternative format by contacting the Arizona Game and Fish Department, Office of the Deputy Director at the address listed above or by calling (602) 789-3290 or TTY 1-800-367-8939

Introduction

The Colorado River in Grand Canyon and its biota have been dramatically changed by the closure of Glen Canyon Dam in 1963 (National Academy of Sciences 1991). Today, among approximately twenty non-native fishes only four of the original eight native species remain (bluehead sucker *Catostomus discobolus*, flannelmouth sucker *C. latipinnis*, humpback chub *Gila cypha*, speckled dace *Rhinichthys osculus*); and one of these (humpback chub) is federally endangered (change citation to federal register). Due to perennially cold, clear water, native fish in Grand Canyon now reproduce only in tributaries of the Colorado River (Valdez and Ryel 1995; Arizona Game and Fish Department 1996), of which the Little Colorado River (LCR) is the largest in Grand Canyon and the main spawning area for all native species (Arizona Game and Fish Department 1996).

Non-native fishes introduced into the Little Colorado and Colorado river systems have brought various parasites with them. At least four exotic parasites are known to infect fishes of the LCR. Two of these parasites, Asian fish tapeworm *Bothriocephalus acheilognathi* (Cestoda) and anchor worm *Lernaea cyprinacea* (Copepoda) are particularly worrisome, since they infect humpback chub at a higher rate than any other species in the system (Brouder and Hoffnagle 1997; Hoffnagle and Cole 1999; Hoffnagle et al 2000). Both *B. acheilognathi* and *L. cyprinacea* have been reported as pathogenic and potentially fatal (directly or indirectly) to fish of various age classes (Schäpperclaus 1986). *Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*, in particular, has caused high mortality in native fishes that it has infected outside of its native range (Hoffman and Schubert 1984). These parasites cannot complete their life cycles in the mainstem Colorado River under present, cold water conditions. However, they can be transported by infected individuals to other suitable tributaries, such as Kanab Creek.

Information on parasite distribution, host affiliation and impact of water temperature regimes has been requested by managers for making decisions regarding the future operation of Glen Canyon Dam and the proposed multi-level intake structure. This study is the first survey of the parasites of all fishes of the Little Colorado River and will provide information on prevalence of parasites and diseases present in the Little Colorado River drainage, with emphasis on native fishes and those species likely to be injurious to native fishes. Herein we report the results of our second year of sampling.

Study Area

The Little Colorado River is the major tributary to the Colorado River in Grand Canyon. Its perennial flow comes from Blue Spring and a series of lesser springs which discharge approximately 6.3 m³/s of 20° C water which is supersaturated with calcium carbonate and charged with free CO₂ (Johnson and Sanderson 1968; Cole 1975). This study is conducted in the lower 21 km of the Little Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. These lands are administered by the Navajo Natural Heritage Program (Navajo Reservation) and U. S. National Park Service (Grand Canyon National Park).

The study area was originally divided into three reaches. Reach 3 is above the Atomizer/Chute Falls Complex (RK 13.6; RK = distance upstream in the Little Colorado River from its confluence with the Colorado River). This reach usually contains only speckled dace and non-native common carp *Cyprinus carpio* and fathead minnow *Pimephales promelas* (Robinson et al. 1996; D. Stone, USFWS, personal communication), due to the waterfall barrier. This reach was not sampled during 2000 because river conditions did not permit us to land a helicopter there. Reach 2 is in the area of Salt Trail Canyon (RK 10.8) and is a middle reach of river containing all fish that complete their life cycles in the LCR and rare migrant rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Robinson and Clarkson 1992; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1994). This reach also contains three clear water, saline springs (Big Canyon Creek, Big Canyon Spring and Salt Creek), which were sampled, as well. Reach 1 is in the vicinity of Boulder Camp (RK 2) and ranged from RK 2.6 to the mouth (RK 0). This area contains all species present in the LCR, including those that move into the LCR from the Colorado River, such as rainbow trout and brown trout *Salmo trutta* (Robinson and Clarkson 1992; Hoffnagle et al 1997; Brouder and Hoffnagle 1998).

Methods

We conducted three sampling trips in 2000: 9 - 21 April (Trip 1), 4 - 15 June (Trip 2) and 25 September - 4 October (Trip 3). We entered the Little Colorado River Canyon by helicopter from the Salt Trail helicopter pad on the Navajo Reservation. Reaches 1 and 2 were sampled during each trip.

Fish were collected by commonly used gears such as seines, minnow traps, hoop nets, gill nets and trot lines. Additionally, less commonly used gears, such as spear guns and

Hawaiian slings were used to collect channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* and common carp observed from shore. Snorkeling with this gear has been used to effectively capture channel catfish in the LCR under clear water conditions (Arizona Game and Fish Department, unpublished data). However, conditions during 2000 were not sufficiently clear to snorkel and spear guns were used only to capture fish observed, from above, near shore.

We attempted to capture at least ten individuals of each species in each reach. Fishes collected for necropsy were limited by species: no humpback chub >150 mm could be necropsied and no more than five bluehead or flannelmouth suckers >150 mm could be sacrificed from each reach / trip. As many as 200 speckled dace or non-native species could be collected on each trip. The utility of these common fish as surrogates for monitoring parasite abundance in humpback chub will be examined.

All fish captured were measured for total length (mm), weighed (g) and examined for external parasites (*Lernaea cyprinacea*). Fish not collected for necropsy were released alive at the site of capture. Necropsied fish were examined for parasites in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, eyes, brain, kidney, liver, gall bladder, musculature and gills. Parasites were identified, counted, preserved and their maturity and attachment location in/on the fish recorded. Blood smears were also made, air-dried and preserved in 100% methanol and later stained with Giemsa to examine for blood parasites.

Results

A total of 6425 fish were captured (Table 1) and 805 fish were necropsied for internal parasites during the three trips (Table 2). Eleven species of fish were examined, including all four native species and seven non-native species (channel catfish, common carp, fathead minnow, plains killifish *Fundulus zebrinus*, rainbow trout, red shiner *Cyprinella lutrensis* and yellow bullhead *Ameiurus natalis*). Fifteen species of parasites were recovered (Table 3): four Nematoda, three Cestoda, three Monogenea, one Trematoda, two Myxosporea, one Copepoda, and one Acarina (the Acarina are most likely ectocommensal, not obligatory parasites).

Channel catfish, humpback chub and speckled dace were the most highly infected fishes. Parasite species richness was highest in channel catfish, with eight species (Myxosporea, Cleidodiscus sp., Ornithodiplostomum sp., Corallobothrium fimbriatum, Megathylacoides giganteum, Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, Eustrongylides sp. and Contracaecum sp.), followed

by speckled dace with seven species (Monogenea gen. sp., *Ornithodiplostomum* sp., *B. acheilognathi*, *Rhabdochona* sp., *Contracaecum* sp., *L. cyprinacea*, and Acari) and humpback chub with four species (*Ornithodiplostomum* sp., *B. acheilognathi*, *Rhabdochona* sp.and *L. cyprinacea*) (Table 3). The remaining fishes contained three species or less and at low rates of infection.

Of the 15 species of parasites recovered, only one adult parasite, *Rhabdochona* sp., appears to be native to the Little Colorado River in Grand Canyon. This nematode was found only in speckled dace and humpback chub but appears to mature only in speckled dace. It was found in 11.2% of the speckled dace and in 3 of 59 (5.1%) humpback chub examined and its intensity of infection was also low (1-3 worms in 24 of 36 infected dace). Comparisons with other species of *Rhabdochona* are under way to determine if it represents a previously undescribed species.

All other parasites found were probably introduced with non-native fishes and eight of these are known to be or are potentially pathogenic. Some of these species may be particularly problematic due to their life cycle and/or the native fishes that they infect. The introduced Asian fish tapeworm (*Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*) was most abundant (prevalence and intensity) in humpback chub (81.3% prevalence and up to 243 worms in one fish). Heavy infections of *B. acheilognathi* may decrease growth of humpback chub, leaving them susceptible to predation for a longer period (Hoffman and Schubert 1984; Schäpperclaus 1986). Although rarely found in general, *L. cyprinacea* was relatively more common in the September/October sample and was found on 3 species of fish (humpback chub, speckled dace and plains killifish). This ectoparasitic crustacean has been shown to be very common on humpback chub in the LCR in previous years (Hoffnagle and Cole 1999). *L. cyprinacea* has been show to affect fish health, causing changes in blood leucocyte density, loss of blood, secondary infection by *Chondrococcus*, *Costia* and *Saprolegnia* and decreased growth (McNeil 1961; James 1968; Bauer 1970).

Three species (*Ornithodiplostomum* sp., *Contracaecum* sp., and *Eustrongylides* sp.) were recovered as larval stages. These parasites mature in fish-eating birds that may be resident or transient in Grand Canyon. The identification of the strigeid larvae as *Ornithodiplostomum* sp was confirmed and was also found in the brain and cranial cavities of three species of fish (humpback chub, speckled dace and fathead minnow) during the 2000 sampling trips.

Changing the behavior of intermediate hosts is a well-documented strategy by parasites to increase their likelihood of being passed on to the next host (Beckage 1997). The catfish tapeworms (*C. fimbriatum* and *M. giganteum*) use small fish (possibly humpback chub) as an intermediate host. *Ornithodiplostomum* sp. was found in 78% of the humpback chub examined. This parasite uses fish-eating birds as its definitive host, but uses small fishes as intermediate hosts. Additionally, *Ornithodipostomum ptychocheilus* (Radabaugh 1980) and other related trematodes (Crowden and Broom 1980; Brassard et al. 1982; Lemly and Esch 1984; Lafferty and Morris 1996) have been shown to alter host behavior in such a way as to increase the risk of predation and, thus, the likelihood of continuing the life cycle of the parasite. Cestodes may also be able to increase the likelihood of their intermediate host to be consumed by the next host by decreasing growth (Pennycuick 1971) or increasing oxygen demand (Smith and Kramer 1987), which expose the fish to an increased risk of predation (Milinski 1985). It may be that parasites that use humpback chub as an intermediate host areas or more important to the survival of this endangered species than the parasites that use them as definitive hosts.

Future Studies

Phase 1 of this study will continue with further field trips into the LCR scheduled for March/April and June 2001. Evaluation of all sampled parameters (parasites, tissues, fish diet, benthos, plankton, temperature, etc.) will follow the final field trip. Phase 2 has started (preliminary work has already begun) and will focus on the impact of *B. acheilognathi* on the growth rate of its host and acute pathogenicity in very young fish. We are concerned that field data may be skewed as to impacts of this parasite on the very young fish (i.e., young fish that are heavily infected may not survive long enough to be sampled). Experimental work will help us fill this information gap. Parasite impact on growth is relevant because of the potential to increase the window of vulnerability to predation for these fish. Additionally, vulnerability to thermal stress is greater in smaller fish so infected fish that disperse into the mainstem Colorado River may also suffer increased mortality.

At least two introduced parasites (*B. acheilognathi* and *L. cyprinacea*) infecting humpback chub are restricted to the warmer LCR by the colder Colorado River (Carothers et al. 1981; Brouder and Hoffnagle 1997; Clarkson et al. 1997; Hoffnagle and Cole 1999). *T. truttae* is not restricted by cold temperatures, since it is found in rainbow trout in the Colorado River at

Hoffnagle et al. 2000 5

Lees Ferry and in the LCR (McKinney et al. 1999; Hoffnagle et al. 2000). We do not know the temperature requirements of the other parasites. Increasing the water temperature in the Colorado River below Glen Canyon Dam has been proposed. Implementing this strategy may allow these parasites to increase their range and, thus, their effect on native fishes. We strongly recommend that a survey of fish parasites of the Colorado River and other tributaries in Grand Canyon be conducted as part of the studies concerning the temperature control device.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by a grant to the National Wildlife Health Center (U.S. Geological Survey - Biological Resources Division). We appreciate Grand Canyon National Park, Navajo Natural Heritage Program and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for granting permits to conduct this work. Dr. Wesley Shoop, Bruce Michael, Scott Hansen, Scott Hale, Anson Koehler and Lara Myers provided skillful and enthusiastic field assistance.

Literature Cited

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1996. The ecology of Grand Canyon backwaters. Final Report. Submitted to Glen Canyon Environmental Studies, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Flagstaff, AZ. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Bauer, O. N. 1970. Relationships between host fishes and their parasites. Pages 84 103 *in* V. A. Dogiel, G. K. Petrushevski and Y. I Poyanski, editors. Parasitology of fishes. T.F.H. Publications, Neptune City, New Jersey.
- Beckage, N. E., editor. 1997. Parasites and pathogens. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Brassard, P., M. E. Rau and M. A. Curtis. 1982. Parasite-induced susceptibility to predation in diplostomatiasis. Parasitology 85:495-501.
- Brouder, M. J. and T. L. Hoffnagle. 1997. Distribution and prevalence of the Asian fish tapeworm, *Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*, in the Colorado River and tributaries, Grand Canyon, Arizona, including two new host records. Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington 64:219-226.
- Brouder, M. J. and T. L. Hoffnagle. 1998. Little Colorado River native fish monitoring, 1996 annual report. Submitted to Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center, U. S. Department of the Interior, Flagstaff, AZ. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Carothers, S. W., J. W. Jordan, C. O. Minckley and H. D. Usher. 1981. Infestations of the copepod parasite, *Lernaea cyprinacaea*, in native fishes of the Grand Canyon. National Park Service Transactions and Proceedings Series 8:452-460.
- Clarkson, R. W., A. T. Robinson and T. L. Hoffnagle. 1997. Asian tapeworm, *Bothriocephalus acheilognathi*, in native fishes from the Little Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. Great Basin Naturalist 57:66-69.
- Cole, G. A. 1975. Calcite saturation in Arizona waters. Verhandlungen Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 19:1675-1685.

- Crowden, A. E. and D. M. Broom. 1980. Effects of the eyefluke, *Diplostomum spathaceum*, on the behavior of dace (*Leuciscus leuciscus*). Animal Behavior 28:287-294.
- Hoffman, G. L. and G. Schubert. 1984. Some parasites of exotic fishes. Pages 233-261 *in* W. R. Courtney, Jr. and J. R. Stauffer, Jr., editors. Distribution, biology, and management of exotic fishes. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Hoffnagle, T. L., D. W. Speas, M. J. Brouder and W. R. Persons. 1997. Little Colorado River fish monitoring, 13 April 16 May 1997, 1997 Annual Report. Submitted to Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center, U. S. Department of the Interior, Flagstaff, AZ. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Hoffnagle, T. L. and R. A. Cole. 1999. Distribution and prevalence of *Lernaea cyprinacea* in fishes of the Colorado River and tributaries in Grand Canyon, Arizona. Proceedings of the Desert Fishes Council 29:45-46.
- Hoffnagle, T. L., A. Choudhury and R. A. Cole. 2000. Parasites of native and non-native fishes of the lower Little Colorado River, Arizona. 2000 Annual Report. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- James, A. E. 1968. *Lernaea* (copepod) infection of three native fishes from the Salt River basin, Arizona. Masters thesis, Arizona State University, Tempe.
- Johnson, P. W. and R. B. Sanderson. 1968. Spring flow into the Colorado River, Lees Ferry to Lake Mead, Arizona. Arizona State Land Department, Water Resources Report No. 34.
- Lafferty, K. D. and A. K. Morris. 1996. Altered behavior of parasitized killifish increases susceptibility to predation by bird final hosts. Ecology 77:1390-1397.
- Lemly, A. D. and G. W. Esch. 1984. Effects of the trematode *Uvulifer ambloplitis* on juvenile bluegill sunfish, *Lepomis macrochirus*: ecological implications. Journal of Parasitology 70:475-492.
- McKinney, T., D. W. Speas, R. S. Rogers and W. R. Persons. 1999. Rainbow trout in the Lee's Ferry recreational fishery below Glen Canyon Dam, Arizona, following establishment of minimum flow requirements. Final report submitted to Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center, Flagstaff, Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Milinski, M. 1985. Risk of predation of parasitized sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) under competition for food. Behaviour 93:203-216.
- National Academy of Sciences. 1991. Colorado River ecology and dam management. National Academy of Sciences Press, Washington, DC.
- Pennycuick, L. 1971. Quantitative effects of three species of parasites on a population of three-spined sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Journal of Zoology, London 165:143-162.
- Radabaugh, D. C. 1980. Changes in minnow *Pimephales promelas* Rafinesque, schooling behavior associated with infections of brain encysted larvae of the fluke, *Ornithodiplostomum ptychocheilus*. Journal of Fish Biology 16:621-628.
- Robinson, A. T. and R. W. Clarkson. 1992. Annual spring monitoring of humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in the Little Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona, 1987-1992. Research Branch, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix.
- Robinson, A. T., D. M. Kubly, R. W. Clarkson and E. D. Creef. 1996. Factors limiting the distributions of native fishes in the Little Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. The Southwestern Naturalist 41:378-387.
- Schäpperclaus, W. 1986. Fish diseases, volume 2. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- Smith, R. S. and D. L. Kramer. 1987. Effects of a cestode (*Schistocephalus* sp.) on the response of ninespine sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*) to aquatic hypoxia. Canadian Journal of

Hoffnagle et al. 2000 7

- Zoology 65:1862-1865.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Habitat use by humpback chub, *Gila cypha*, in the Little Colorado River and other tributaries of the Colorado River. Final report submitted to Glen Canyon Environmental Studies, U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Flagstaff, Arizona. Arizona Fishery Resources Offices, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pinetop, Arizona.
- Valdez, R. A. and R. J. Ryel. 1995. Life history and ecology the humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in the Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. Final report to U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contract No. 0-CS-40-09110. Bio/West, Inc., Logan, Utah.

Table 1. Number of each species captured on each trip and in each sampled reach during AGFD/USGS-BRD Little Colorado River fish parasite research, 2000. Note: Big Canyon Creek, Big Canyon Springs and Salt Creek were considered part of LCR Reach 2 for permitted humpback chub collections.

		Na	tive Fish	es*	•	Non-native Fishes*							
Trip/Reach	BHS	FMS	HBC	SPD	SUC	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	Total
Trip 1: 9-21 April			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		
Big Canyon Creek			2	518				1					521
Big Canyon Springs	5			453				1	4				463
Salt Creek			5	21									26
LCR Reach 2	147	2	144	44		6		3		3			349
LCR Reach 1	65	6	<u>137</u>	40	<u>132</u>	8	<u>1</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>		<u>1</u>	409
Trip 1 Total	217	8	288	1076	132	14	1	19	5	7	0	1	1768
<u>Trip 2: 4 - 15 June</u>													
Big Canyon Creek				692									692
Big Canyon Springs				873					6				879
Salt Creek				128									128
LCR Reach 2	214		132	259		5	225	9	7			5	856
LCR Reach 1	<u>166</u>	100	<u>108</u>	73		_6	_52		_1	<u>13</u>	<u>5</u>		524
Trip 2 Total	380	100	240	2025	0	11	277	9	14	13	5	5	3079

Table 1continued.

		Na	tive Fish	es*		Non-native Fishes*							
Trip/Reach	BHS	FMS	НВС	SPD	SUC	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	Total
Trip 3: 25 September -	4 Octob	<u>er</u>											
Big Canyon Creek				411									411
Big Canyon Springs	1			406				3	37				447
Salt Creek				112									112
LCR Reach 2	8	5	109	98		3		166	52	3	1	. 2	447
LCR Reach 1	_1		_38	12		_8	<u>2</u>	<u>71</u>	_22				161
Trip 3 Total	_10	12	<u>147</u>	<u>1039</u>	0	<u>11</u>	2	<u>240</u>	<u>111</u>	_3	<u>1</u>	2	<u>1578</u>
Total	607	120	675	4140	132	36	280	268	130	23	6	5 8	6425

^{*}BHS = bluehead sucker, FMS = flannelmouth sucker, HBC = humpback chub, SPD = speckled dace, SUC = unidentified (juvenile) suckers (released alive); CCF = channel catfish, CRP = common carp, FHM = fathead minnow, PKF = plains killifish, RBT = rainbow trout, RSH = red shiner, YBH = yellow bullhead.

Table 2. Number of fish of each species necropsied on each trip and in each sampled reach during AGFD/USGS-BRD Little Colorado River fish parasite research, 2000. Note: Big Canyon Creek, Big Canyon Springs and Salt Creek were considered part of LCR Reach 2 for permitted humpback chub collections.

	•	Native 1	Fishes*		Non-native Fishes*							
Trip/Reach	BHS	FMS	HBC	SPD	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	Total
Trip 1: 9-21 April												
Big Canyon Creek	0	0	1	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Big Canyon Springs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salt Creek	0	0	3	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
LCR Reach 2	26	2	5	31	6	0	5	4	3	0	0	82
LCR Reach 1	<u>_6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1</u>	_7	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	66
Trip 1 Total	32	5	19	97	12	1	19	5	10	1	1	202
<u>Trip 2: 4 - 15 June</u>												
Big Canyon Creek	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Big Canyon Springs	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	37
Salt Creek	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
LCR Reach 2	26	0	10	17	3	27	9	4	0	0	3	99
LCR Reach 1	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>10</u>	21	<u>4</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>0</u>	_1	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>117</u>
Trip 2 Total	50	23	20	113	7	49	9	11	7	5	3	297

Table 2 continued.

		Fishes*	Non-native Fishes*									
Trip/Reach	BHS	FMS	HBC	SPD	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	Total
Trip 3: 25 September - 4	October								•			
Big Canyon Creek	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Big Canyon Springs	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	41
Salt Creek	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
LCR Reach 2	6	3	10	26	3	0	47	19	3	0	2	119
LCR Reach 1	<u>1</u>	_7	<u>10</u>	_10	<u>10</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	91
Trip 3 Total	_7	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>13</u>	_3	<u>81</u>	<u>56</u>	_3	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>306</u>
Total	89	38	59	321	32	53	109	72	20	6	6	805

^{*}BHS = bluehead sucker, FMS = flannelmouth sucker, HBC = humpback chub, SPD = speckled dace; CCF = channel catfish, CRP = common carp, FHM = fathead minnow, PKF = plains killifish, RBT = rainbow trout, RSH = red shiner, YBH = yellow bullhead.

Table 3. Frequency of occurrence (%) and range of the intensities of infection (in parentheses) of each parasite found in each species of fish sampled in the Little Colorado River during AGFD/USGS-BRD Little Colorado River fish parasite research, 2000.

		Native	Fishes*		Non-native Fishes*							
Parasites	BHS	FMS	HBC	SPD	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	
Acari (Mites)	0	0	0	2.5% (1-3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Myxosporea	0	0	0	0	12.5%	0	0	0	10%	0	16.7%	
Monogenea												
Cleidodiscus sp.	0	0	0	2.5% (1-7)	12.5% (1-56)	11.3% (1-4)	11% (1-15)	0	0	0	0	
Copepoda												
Lernaea cyprinacea	0	0	8.5% (1)	1.9% (1-2)	0	0	0	1.4% (1)	0	0	0	
Cestoda												
Bothriocephalus acheilognathi	1.1% (1)	5.3% (1)	81.3% (1-243)	42.7% (1-64)	3.1% (1)	62.3% (1-28)	24.8% (1-72)	23.6% (1-75)	0	16.7% (3)	0	
Corallobothrium fimbriatum	0	0	0	0	21.9% (1-4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Megathylacoides giganteum	0	0	0	0	25% (1-9)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unidentified plerocercoid	0	0	1.7% (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 3 continued.

		Native	Fishes*		Non-native Fishes*							
Parasites	BHS	FMS	НВС	SPD	CCF	CRP	FHM	PKF	RBT	RSH	YBH	
<u>Trematoda</u>												
Ornithodiplostomum sp. (larva)	1.1% (1)	0	78% (1-202)	58.9% (1-23)	0	0	7.3% (1-12)	0	0	0	0	
Rhabdochona sp.	0	0	5.1% (1)	11.2% (1-14)	0	0	0	1.4% (1)	0	0	0	
Truttaedacnitis truttae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100% (5-108)	0	0	
Unidentified metacercaria	0	0	0	0.6% (1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Nematoda</u>												
Eustrongylides sp. (larva)	0	0	0	0	21.9% (1-2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contracaecum sp.(larva)	0	0	0	0.6% (1)	9.3% (1-3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unidentified cysts	0	0	0	0	21.9%	0	0	1.4%	5%	0	0	

^{*}BHS =Bluehead sucker; FMS = Flannelmouth sucker; HBC = Humpback chub; SPD = Speckled dace; CCF = Channel catfish; CRP = Common carp; FHM = Fathead minnow; PKF = Plains killifish; RBT = Rainbow trout; RSH = Red shiner; YBH = Yellow bullhead.